UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/502,091	07/22/2004	Hiroaki Sudo	L9289.04147	4015	
<sup>24257</sup> Dickinson Wrig	7590 01/29/200 ght PLLC	EXAMINER			
James E. Ledbetter, Esq. International Square 1875 Eye Street, NW., Suite 1200			BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/502,091	SUDO, HIROAKI
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This     Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 30-42 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 30-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration. or election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2004 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)  accepted or b)  objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-992)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/502,091 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 19, 2008 has been entered.

## Response to Amendment

This Action is in response to applicant's amendment / arguments filed on November 19, 2008. Claims 30-42 are now currently pending in the present application.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### **Drawings**

Figure 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 30-42 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walton et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0081538 A1, hereinafter Walton) in view of Arai et al. (US Patent 6,456,607 B2, hereinafter Arai) in view of Sakoda et al. (US PGPUB 2002/0118659 A1, hereinafter Sakoda) and further in view of Baum et al. (US PGPUB 2003/0112744 A1, hereinafter Baum).

Consider **claim 30**. Walton discloses an OFDM-CDMA transmitting apparatus comprising:

a spreading section that spreads transmit symbols and makes a spreading ratio of a specific transmit symbol larger than a spreading ratio of other transmit symbols (figure 3 paragraphs 10, 11, 29, 109, read as read as a data spreader at a transmitter unit to spread the coded data for each user with a respective set of one or more spreading codes. Walton also discloses different spreading factor of SF being used for a data rate. Particularly, Walton

Art Unit: 2617

discloses that lower data rates may be accommodated by power scaling the data such that the transmit power per frame is proportional to the data rate based on different spreading factors); and

an orthogonal frequency division multiplexing section that distributes the multiplexed spread signals among a plurality of subcarriers (paragraph 102, read as the spreader is supplied with the multiplexed coded data from the multiplexer and transmitted).

Walton discloses the claimed invention but fails to explicitly teach a number of multiplexing selection section that selects a number of multiplexing for each transmit symbol and makes a number of multiplexing for the specific transmit symbol smaller than a number of multiplexing for the other transmit symbols; and a multiplexing section that multiplexes spread signals of said each transmit symbol by the selected number of multiplexing.

However, Arai teaches a number of multiplexing selection section that selects a number of multiplexing for each transmit symbol and makes a number of multiplexing for the specific transmit symbol smaller than a number of multiplexing for the other transmit symbols; and a multiplexing section that multiplexes spread signals of said each transmit symbol by the selected number of multiplexing (figure 1 block 112, figure 2 block 210, figure 5 block 501 and 502, figure 6 block 601 and 602, column 6 lines 47-50 and 54-57, column 7 lines 10-13, 26-28, 38-42, column 9 lines 42-58, column 10 lines 1-5, 47-61, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, read as The significance discriminating circuit 111 discriminates a significance factor of the transmission data in accordance with the predetermined value or manually set value, and supplies the discrimination result to the multiplex number control unit 112. The multiplex number control unit 112 sets a multiplex number corresponding to the significance factor and sends it to the

Art Unit: 2617

spread spectrum communication unit 109, where the significance factor of data such as control signals required to be reliably transmitted is set highest, and is set lower for audio signals and image signals in this order in accordance with an allowable transmission error rate).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Arai into the invention of Walton in order to control the information amount matching the transmission path conditions (column 1 lines 60-66).

In addition, Walter and Arai fail to teach distributes at least one of chips of the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced and chips of the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased.

However, Sakoda teaches distributes at least one of chips of the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced and chips of the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased (paragraphs 148, 177, read as frequency-converting the transmission signal into a desired frequency band. Thus, the transmitter 100 performs multi carrier communication for transmitting the information bit stream S100 to be transmitted with pluralities of subcarriers. In addition, the transmitter can stepwise increase transmission power by using only the spread code C55 having one type of a spreading ratio, performing multiplication in parallel correspondingly to the increase of bit rates and thereafter performing multiplexing).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Sakoda into the invention of Walton

and Arai in order to disperse the transmission signal into the subcarriers and superimpose them (paragraph 148).

Moreover, Walter, Arai, and Sakoda fail to explicitly teach that the distribution is only to subcarriers in a time domain among subcarriers in a frequency domain, subcarriers in the frequency domain and the time domain, and the subcarriers in the time domain.

However, Baum teaches that the distribution is only to subcarriers in a time domain among subcarriers in a frequency domain, subcarriers in the frequency domain and the time domain, and the subcarriers in the time domain (paragraph 16, read as spreading data symbols over a plurality of subcarriers in the time domain and/or frequency domain and time domain).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the teachings of Baum into the invention of Walter, Arai, and Sakoda in order to reduce multipath fading (paragraph 2).

Consider **claim 31 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein data for which better channel quality is required than for other data is allocated to the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced (Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, column 15 lines 5-15).

Consider **claim 32 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein data for which better channel quality is required than for other data is allocated to the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased (Walton; abstract, paragraphs 29, 109, Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20).

Consider **claim 33** and as applied to claim 30. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced is

placed at the start of a frame (Arai; figure 15, column 17 lines 62-67, column 18 lines 5-10, 30-45).

Consider **claim 34** and **as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased is placed at a start of a frame (Walton; abstract, paragraphs 29, 109, Arai; figure 15, column 17 lines 62-67, column 18 lines 5-10, 30-45).

Consider **claim 35 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the number of multiplexing selection section reduces a number of multiplexing of a retransmission symbol in accordance with an increase of a number of retransmissions (Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, column 15 lines 5-15).

Consider **claim 36** and as applied to claim 30. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the spreading section increases the spreading ratio of a retransmission symbol in accordance with an increase of a number of retransmissions (Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, column 15 lines 5-15).

Consider **claim 37 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein an M-ary modulation number of the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced is made smaller than an M-ary modulation number of the other transmit symbols (Walton; paragraph 37).

Consider **claim 38 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein an M-ary modulation number of the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased is made smaller than an M-ary modulation number of the other transmit symbols (Walton; paragraph 37).

Application/Control Number: 10/502,091 Page 8

Art Unit: 2617

Consider **claim 39 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced is inserted periodically (Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, column 15 lines 53-63).

Consider **claim 40 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the specific transmit symbol whose spreading ratio has been increased is inserted periodically (Arai; column 9 lines 33-58, column 11 lines 6-10, 14-20, column 15 lines 53-63).

Consider **claim 41 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the number of multiplexing of the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced is made "1" (Arai; figures 13 and 16, steps 1301 and 1601, column 15 lines 11-14, 18-32, column 18 lines 15-25).

Consider **claim 42 and as applied to claim 30**. Walton, Arai, Sakoda, and Baum disclose wherein the spreading section makes the spreading ratio of the specific transmit symbol whose number of multiplexing has been reduced is made "1" (Arai; figures 13 and 16, steps 1301 and 1601, column 15 lines 11-14, 18-32, column 18 lines 15-25).

### Conclusion

Any response to this Office Action should be faxed to (571) 273-8300 or mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher M. Brandt whose telephone number is (571) 270-1098. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30a.m. to 5p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on (571) 272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/customer service whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

Application/Control Number: 10/502,091

Page 10

Art Unit: 2617

Christopher M. Brandt

C.M.B./cmb

January 27, 2009

/George Eng/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617